

- Non-zero particle masses are $m_t = 173$ GeV, $m_H = 125$ GeV, $m_Z = 91.188$ GeV, $m_W = 80.419$ GeV. The bottom-quark mass is set to $m_b = 4.7$ GeV in the four-flavour-scheme (4FS) simulations, and to $m_b = 0$ in the five-flavour-scheme (5FS) ones. The CKM matrix is $V_{\text{CKM}} = \mathbb{1}$, and the fine-structure constant is $\alpha = 1/132.507$.
- Renormalisation and factorisation scales are chosen as $\mu_R = \mu_F = \frac{1}{2} \sum_k m_T^{(k)}$, $m_T^{(k)}$ being the transverse mass of the k -th final-state particle. Independent variation of μ_R and μ_F in the range $[1/2, 2]$ is obtained in an exact way without rerunning the code, through the reweighting technique described in [1]. The uncertainty associated with this variation is shown as a dark band in the plots of the following sections.
- As parton distribution functions (PDFs) we use the MSTW 2008 NLO (68% c.l.) sets [2], relevant to four or five active flavours, depending on the flavour scheme employed in the simulation. PDF uncertainties are estimated according to the asymmetric-hessian prescription provided by the PDF set, and obtained automatically as explained in [1]. They are shown as a light band in the plots of the following sections. The value and the running of the strong coupling constant α_s are as well set according to the PDF set.
- Whenever relevant, jets are clustered by means of the anti- k_T algorithm [3] as implemented in FASTJET [4], with $\Delta R = 0.7$, and $p_T(j) > 20$ GeV (if not otherwise specified).
- Whenever relevant, photons are isolated by means of the Frixione smooth-cone criterion [5], with parameters $R_0 = 0.4$, $p_T(\gamma) > 20$ GeV, $\epsilon_\gamma = n = 1$.
- Loop-induced processes are generated in the 4FS.

| Process | $\sigma_{\text{NLO}}(8 \text{ TeV}) [\text{fb}]$ | $\sigma_{\text{NLO}}(100 \text{ TeV}) [\text{fb}]$ | ρ |
|--|--|--|--------|
| $pp \rightarrow H (m_t, m_b)$ | $1.44 \cdot 10^4 +20\% +1\%$ $-16\% -2\%$ | $5.46 \cdot 10^{+5} +28\% +2\%$ $-27\% -2\%$ | 38 |
| $gg \rightarrow H (\text{LI})$ | $6.85 \cdot 10^{+3} +33\% +1\%$ $-24\% -1\%$ | $2.21 \cdot 10^{+5} +58\% +1\%$ $-39\% -1\%$ | 32 |
| $pp \rightarrow Hjj (\text{VBF})$ | $1.61 \cdot 10^3 +1\% +2\%$ $-0\% -2\%$ | $7.40 \cdot 10^4 +3\% +2\%$ $-2\% -1\%$ | 46 |
| $pp \rightarrow Hjj (\text{LI})$ | $1.89 \cdot 10^{+3} +67\% +1\%$ $-37\% -1\%$ | $2.02 \cdot 10^{+5} +66\% +0\%$ $-38\% -1\%$ | 107 |
| $pp \rightarrow Ht\bar{t}$ | $1.21 \cdot 10^2 +5\% +3\%$ $-9\% -3\%$ | $3.25 \cdot 10^4 +7\% +1\%$ $-8\% -1\%$ | 269 |
| $pp \rightarrow Hb\bar{b} (\text{4FS})$ | $2.37 \cdot 10^2 +9\% +2\%$ $-9\% -2\%$ | $1.21 \cdot 10^4 +2\% +2\%$ $-10\% -2\%$ | 51 |
| $pp \rightarrow Htj$ | $2.07 \cdot 10^1 +2\% +2\%$ $-1\% -2\%$ | $5.21 \cdot 10^3 +3\% +1\%$ $-5\% -1\%$ | 252 |
| $pp \rightarrow HW^\pm$ | $7.31 \cdot 10^2 +2\% +2\%$ $-1\% -2\%$ | $1.54 \cdot 10^4 +5\% +2\%$ $-8\% -2\%$ | 21 |
| $pp \rightarrow HZ$ | $3.87 \cdot 10^2 +2\% +2\%$ $-1\% -2\%$ | $8.82 \cdot 10^3 +4\% +2\%$ $-8\% -2\%$ | 23 |
| $gg \rightarrow HZ (\text{LI})$ | $22.9 +33\% +1\%$ $-23\% -2\%$ | $2.50 \cdot 10^{+3} +35\% +1\%$ $-26\% -1\%$ | 109 |
| $pp \rightarrow HW^+W^- (\text{4FS})$ | $4.62 \cdot 10^0 +3\% +2\%$ $-2\% -2\%$ | $1.68 \cdot 10^2 +5\% +2\%$ $-6\% -1\%$ | 36 |
| $gg \rightarrow HW^+W^- (\text{LI})$ | N/A | N/A | [–] |
| $pp \rightarrow HZW^\pm$ | $2.17 \cdot 10^0 +4\% +2\%$ $-4\% -2\%$ | $9.94 \cdot 10^1 +6\% +2\%$ $-7\% -1\%$ | 46 |
| $pp \rightarrow HW^\pm\gamma$ | $2.36 \cdot 10^0 +3\% +2\%$ $-3\% -2\%$ | $7.75 \cdot 10^1 +7\% +2\%$ $-8\% -1\%$ | 33 |
| $pp \rightarrow HZ\gamma$ | $1.54 \cdot 10^0 +3\% +2\%$ $-2\% -2\%$ | $4.29 \cdot 10^1 +5\% +2\%$ $-7\% -2\%$ | 28 |
| $gg \rightarrow HZ\gamma (\text{LI})$ | $2.12 \cdot 10^{-3} +34\% +1\%$ $-23\% -2\%$ | $0.279 +33\% +0\%$ $-25\% -1\%$ | 132 |
| $gg \rightarrow HH (\text{LI})$ | $5.46 +34\% +2\%$ $-24\% -2\%$ | $7.74 \cdot 10^{+2} +32\% +0\%$ $-24\% -1\%$ | 142 |
| $pp \rightarrow ZZ$ | $7.18 \cdot 10^{+3} +3\% +2\%$ $-3\% -2\%$ | $1.62 \cdot 10^{+5} +6\% +2\%$ $-10\% -2\%$ | 23 |
| $gg \rightarrow ZZ (\text{LI})$ | $4.93 \cdot 10^{+2} +30\% +1\%$ $-21\% -1\%$ | $2.92 \cdot 10^{+4} +42\% +1\%$ $-30\% -1\%$ | 59 |
| $pp \rightarrow Z\gamma$ | $3.63 \cdot 10^{+4} +5\% +2\%$ $-5\% -1\%$ | $6.00 \cdot 10^{+5} +13\% +3\%$ $-16\% -3\%$ | 17 |
| $gg \rightarrow Z\gamma (\text{LI})$ | $3.98 \cdot 10^{+2} +29\% +1\%$ $-21\% -1\%$ | $1.70 \cdot 10^{+4} +52\% +1\%$ $-35\% -1\%$ | 43 |
| $pp \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$ | $1.15 \cdot 10^{+5} +14\% +2\%$ $-15\% -1\%$ | $1.52 \cdot 10^{+6} +32\% +8\%$ $-29\% -6\%$ | 13 |
| $gg \rightarrow \gamma\gamma (\text{LI})$ | $2.54 \cdot 10^{+4} +56\% +1\%$ $-37\% -1\%$ | $4.59 \cdot 10^{+5} +89\% +3\%$ $-50\% -3\%$ | 18 |
| $pp \rightarrow HZZ$ | $1.10 \cdot 10^0 +2\% +2\%$ $-2\% -2\%$ | $4.20 \cdot 10^1 +4\% +2\%$ $-6\% -1\%$ | 38 |
| $pp \rightarrow W^+W^- (\text{4FS})$ | $5.30 \cdot 10^4 +3\% +2\%$ $-3\% -2\%$ | $1.12 \cdot 10^6 +9\% +2\%$ $-11\% -2\%$ | 21 |
| $gg \rightarrow W^+W^- (\text{LI})$ | N/A | N/A | [–] |
| $pp \rightarrow HZZ$ | $1.10 \cdot 10^0 +2\% +2\%$ $-2\% -2\%$ | $4.20 \cdot 10^1 +4\% +2\%$ $-6\% -1\%$ | 38 |
| $gg \rightarrow HZZ (\text{LI})$ | $3.56 \cdot 10^{-2} +35\% +2\%$ $-24\% -2\%$ | $7.29 +28\% +0\%$ $-22\% -1\%$ | 205 |
| $gg \rightarrow H\gamma\gamma (\text{LI})$ | $2.24 \cdot 10^{-3} +35\% +2\%$ $-24\% -2\%$ | $0.374 +31\% +0\%$ $-24\% -1\%$ | 167 |
| $pp \rightarrow HW^\pm j$ | $3.18 \cdot 10^2 +4\% +2\%$ $-4\% -1\%$ | $1.07 \cdot 10^4 +2\% +2\%$ $-7\% -1\%$ | 34 |
| $pp \rightarrow HW^\pm jj$ | $6.06 \cdot 10^1 +6\% +1\%$ $-8\% -1\%$ | $4.90 \cdot 10^3 +2\% +1\%$ $-6\% -1\%$ | 81 |
| $pp \rightarrow HZj$ | $1.71 \cdot 10^2 +4\% +1\%$ $-4\% -1\%$ | $6.31 \cdot 10^3 +2\% +2\%$ $-7\% -1\%$ | 37 |
| $pp \rightarrow HZjj$ | $3.50 \cdot 10^1 +7\% +1\%$ $-10\% -1\%$ | $2.81 \cdot 10^3 +2\% +1\%$ $-5\% -1\%$ | 80 |

Table 1: Production of a single Higgs boson at the LHC² and at a 100 TeV FCC-hh. The rightmost column reports the ratio ρ of the FCC-hh to the LHC cross sections. Theoretical uncertainties are due to scale and PDF variations, respectively. Monte-Carlo-integration error is always smaller than theoretical uncertainties, and is not shown. For $pp \rightarrow HVjj$, on top of the transverse-momentum cut of section ??, I require $m(j_1, j_2) > 100 \text{ GeV}$, j_1 and j_2 being the hardest and next-to-hardest jets, respectively. Processes $pp \rightarrow Htj$ and $pp \rightarrow Hjj$ (VBF) do not feature jet cuts.

| Process | | $\sigma_{\text{NLO}}(8 \text{ TeV}) [\text{fb}]$ | $\sigma_{\text{NLO}}(100 \text{ TeV}) [\text{fb}]$ | ρ |
|---|--|--|--|--------|
| $pp \rightarrow W^+W^-W^\pm$ (4FS) | | $8.73 \cdot 10^1 {}^{+6\%}_{-4\%} {}^{+2\%}_{-2\%}$ | $4.25 \cdot 10^3 {}^{+9\%}_{-9\%} {}^{+1\%}_{-1\%}$ | 49 |
| $pp \rightarrow W^+W^-Z$ (4FS) | | $6.41 \cdot 10^1 {}^{+7\%}_{-5\%} {}^{+2\%}_{-2\%}$ | $4.01 \cdot 10^3 {}^{+9\%}_{-9\%} {}^{+1\%}_{-1\%}$ | 63 |
| $gg \rightarrow W^+W^-Z$ (LI) | | N/A | N/A | [−] |
| $pp \rightarrow Z\gamma\gamma$ | | $6.06 \cdot 10^{+1} {}^{+4\%}_{-4\%} {}^{+2\%}_{-1\%}$ | $1.37 \cdot 10^{+3} {}^{+12\%}_{-13\%} {}^{+2\%}_{-2\%}$ | 23 |
| $gg \rightarrow Z\gamma\gamma$ (LI) | | $5.58 \cdot 10^{-2} {}^{+28\%}_{-21\%} {}^{+1\%}_{-1\%}$ | $3.42 {}^{+44\%}_{-31\%} {}^{+1\%}_{-1\%}$ | 61 |
| $pp \rightarrow \gamma W^\pm Z$ | | $7.11 \cdot 10^1 {}^{+8\%}_{-7\%} {}^{+2\%}_{-1\%}$ | $3.61 \cdot 10^3 {}^{+12\%}_{-12\%} {}^{+1\%}_{-1\%}$ | 51 |
| $pp \rightarrow W^\pm ZZ$ | | $2.16 \cdot 10^1 {}^{+7\%}_{-6\%} {}^{+2\%}_{-2\%}$ | $1.36 \cdot 10^3 {}^{+10\%}_{-10\%} {}^{+1\%}_{-1\%}$ | 63 |
| $pp \rightarrow \gamma ZZ$ | | $2.24 \cdot 10^1 {}^{+4\%}_{-3\%} {}^{+2\%}_{-2\%}$ | $6.62 \cdot 10^2 {}^{+8\%}_{-9\%} {}^{+2\%}_{-1\%}$ | 30 |
| $gg \rightarrow \gamma ZZ$ (LI) | | $1.13 \cdot 10^{-3} {}^{+33\%}_{-23\%} {}^{+1\%}_{-2\%}$ | $0.13 {}^{+34\%}_{-25\%} {}^{+1\%}_{-1\%}$ | 115 |
| $pp \rightarrow ZZZ$ | | $5.97 \cdot 10^0 {}^{+3\%}_{-3\%} {}^{+2\%}_{-2\%}$ | $2.55 \cdot 10^2 {}^{+5\%}_{-7\%} {}^{+2\%}_{-1\%}$ | 43 |
| $gg \rightarrow ZZZ$ (LI) | | N/A | N/A | [−] |
| $gg \rightarrow HHH$ (LI) | | N/A | N/A | [−] |
| $gg \rightarrow HHHH$ (LI) | | $2.63 \cdot 10^{-5} {}^{+39\%}_{-26\%} {}^{+3\%}_{-3\%}$ | $1.30 \cdot 10^{-2} {}^{+23\%}_{-18\%} {}^{+1\%}_{-1\%}$ | 494 |
| $pp \rightarrow HHZ$ | | $1.31 \cdot 10^{-1} {}^{+2\%}_{-2\%} {}^{+2\%}_{-2\%}$ | $5.36 \cdot 10^0 {}^{+3\%}_{-5\%} {}^{+2\%}_{-1\%}$ | 41 |
| $gg \rightarrow HHZ$ (LI) | | $1.60 \cdot 10^{-2} {}^{+36\%}_{-24\%} {}^{+2\%}_{-2\%}$ | $3.35 {}^{+29\%}_{-22\%} {}^{+0\%}_{-1\%}$ | 209 |
| $gg \rightarrow HH\gamma$ (LI) | | N/A | N/A | [−] |
| $pp \rightarrow W^+W^-W^\pm\gamma$ (4FS) | | $6.78 \cdot 10^{-1} {}^{+8\%}_{-6\%} {}^{+2\%}_{-2\%}$ | $7.42 \cdot 10^1 {}^{+8\%}_{-8\%} {}^{+1\%}_{-1\%}$ | 109 |
| $pp \rightarrow W^+W^-W^\pm Z$ (4FS) | | $3.48 \cdot 10^{-1} {}^{+8\%}_{-7\%} {}^{+2\%}_{-2\%}$ | $5.95 \cdot 10^1 {}^{+7\%}_{-7\%} {}^{+1\%}_{-1\%}$ | 171 |
| $pp \rightarrow W^+W^-W^+W^-$ (4FS) | | $3.01 \cdot 10^{-1} {}^{+7\%}_{-6\%} {}^{+2\%}_{-2\%}$ | $4.11 \cdot 10^1 {}^{+7\%}_{-6\%} {}^{+1\%}_{-1\%}$ | 137 |
| $pp \rightarrow W^+W^-ZZ$ (4FS) | | $2.01 \cdot 10^{-1} {}^{+7\%}_{-6\%} {}^{+2\%}_{-2\%}$ | $3.34 \cdot 10^1 {}^{+6\%}_{-6\%} {}^{+1\%}_{-1\%}$ | 166 |
| $pp \rightarrow W^\pm ZZZ$ | | $3.40 \cdot 10^{-2} {}^{+10\%}_{-8\%} {}^{+2\%}_{-2\%}$ | $7.06 \cdot 10^0 {}^{+8\%}_{-7\%} {}^{+1\%}_{-1\%}$ | 208 |
| $pp \rightarrow ZZZZ$ | | $8.72 \cdot 10^{-3} {}^{+4\%}_{-4\%} {}^{+3\%}_{-2\%}$ | $8.05 \cdot 10^{-1} {}^{+4\%}_{-4\%} {}^{+2\%}_{-1\%}$ | 92 |
| $pp \rightarrow W^+W^-W^+W^-\gamma$ (4FS) | | $5.18 \cdot 10^{-3} {}^{+8\%}_{-7\%} {}^{+3\%}_{-2\%}$ | $1.58 \cdot 10^0 {}^{+6\%}_{-5\%} {}^{+1\%}_{-1\%}$ | 305 |
| $pp \rightarrow ZZZZZ$ | | $1.07 \cdot 10^{-5} {}^{+5\%}_{-4\%} {}^{+3\%}_{-2\%}$ | $2.04 \cdot 10^{-3} {}^{+3\%}_{-3\%} {}^{+2\%}_{-1\%}$ | 191 |

Table 2: Production of multiple vector bosons at the LHC and at a 100 TeV FCC-hh. The rightmost column reports the ratio ρ of the FCC-hh to the LHC cross sections. Theoretical uncertainties are due to scale and PDF variations, respectively. Monte-Carlo-integration error is always smaller than theoretical uncertainties, and is not shown.

| Process | $\sigma_{\text{NLO}}(8 \text{ TeV}) [\text{fb}]$ | $\sigma_{\text{NLO}}(100 \text{ TeV}) [\text{fb}]$ | ρ |
|--|--|---|--------|
| $pp \rightarrow t\bar{t}\gamma$ | $6.50 \cdot 10^2 \begin{array}{l} +12\% \\ -13\% \end{array} \begin{array}{l} +2\% \\ -2\% \end{array}$ | $1.24 \cdot 10^5 \begin{array}{l} +11\% \\ -11\% \end{array} \begin{array}{l} +1\% \\ -1\% \end{array}$ | 192 |
| $pp \rightarrow t\bar{t}Z$ | $1.99 \cdot 10^2 \begin{array}{l} +10\% \\ -12\% \end{array} \begin{array}{l} +3\% \\ -3\% \end{array}$ | $5.63 \cdot 10^4 \begin{array}{l} +9\% \\ -10\% \end{array} \begin{array}{l} +1\% \\ -1\% \end{array}$ | 282 |
| $pp \rightarrow t\bar{t}W^\pm$ | $2.05 \cdot 10^2 \begin{array}{l} +9\% \\ -10\% \end{array} \begin{array}{l} +2\% \\ -2\% \end{array}$ | $1.68 \cdot 10^4 \begin{array}{l} +18\% \\ -16\% \end{array} \begin{array}{l} +1\% \\ -1\% \end{array}$ | 82 |
| $pp \rightarrow t\bar{t}\gamma j$ | $1.22 \cdot 10^2 \begin{array}{l} +17\% \\ -18\% \end{array} \begin{array}{l} +3\% \\ -3\% \end{array}$ | $6.07 \cdot 10^4 \begin{array}{l} +8\% \\ -10\% \end{array} \begin{array}{l} +1\% \\ -1\% \end{array}$ | 498 |
| $pp \rightarrow t\bar{t}Zj$ | $3.51 \cdot 10^1 \begin{array}{l} +15\% \\ -18\% \end{array} \begin{array}{l} +4\% \\ -4\% \end{array}$ | $2.77 \cdot 10^4 \begin{array}{l} +7\% \\ -9\% \end{array} \begin{array}{l} +1\% \\ -1\% \end{array}$ | 789 |
| $pp \rightarrow t\bar{t}W^\pm j$ | $3.59 \cdot 10^1 \begin{array}{l} +18\% \\ -18\% \end{array} \begin{array}{l} +2\% \\ -2\% \end{array}$ | $1.36 \cdot 10^4 \begin{array}{l} +14\% \\ -13\% \end{array} \begin{array}{l} +1\% \\ -1\% \end{array}$ | 379 |
| $pp \rightarrow t\bar{t}W^\pm jj$ | $5.67 \cdot 10^0 \begin{array}{l} +24\% \\ -23\% \end{array} \begin{array}{l} +3\% \\ -2\% \end{array}$ | $6.52 \cdot 10^3 \begin{array}{l} +11\% \\ -14\% \end{array} \begin{array}{l} +1\% \\ -1\% \end{array}$ | 1150 |
| $pp \rightarrow t\bar{t}W^+ W^- \text{ (4FS)}$ | $2.27 \cdot 10^0 \begin{array}{l} +11\% \\ -13\% \end{array} \begin{array}{l} +3\% \\ -3\% \end{array}$ | $1.10 \cdot 10^3 \begin{array}{l} +9\% \\ -9\% \end{array} \begin{array}{l} +1\% \\ -1\% \end{array}$ | 486 |
| $pp \rightarrow t\bar{t}\gamma\gamma$ | $2.23 \cdot 10^0 \begin{array}{l} +14\% \\ -13\% \end{array} \begin{array}{l} +2\% \\ -1\% \end{array}$ | $4.81 \cdot 10^2 \begin{array}{l} +13\% \\ -11\% \end{array} \begin{array}{l} +1\% \\ -1\% \end{array}$ | 216 |
| $pp \rightarrow t\bar{t}Z\gamma$ | $1.11 \cdot 10^0 \begin{array}{l} +12\% \\ -13\% \end{array} \begin{array}{l} +2\% \\ -2\% \end{array}$ | $4.20 \cdot 10^2 \begin{array}{l} +10\% \\ -9\% \end{array} \begin{array}{l} +1\% \\ -1\% \end{array}$ | 378 |
| $pp \rightarrow t\bar{t}W^\pm Z$ | $9.71 \cdot 10^{-1} \begin{array}{l} +10\% \\ -11\% \end{array} \begin{array}{l} +3\% \\ -2\% \end{array}$ | $1.68 \cdot 10^2 \begin{array}{l} +16\% \\ -13\% \end{array} \begin{array}{l} +1\% \\ -1\% \end{array}$ | 173 |
| $pp \rightarrow t\bar{t}ZZ$ | $4.47 \cdot 10^{-1} \begin{array}{l} +8\% \\ -10\% \end{array} \begin{array}{l} +3\% \\ -2\% \end{array}$ | $1.58 \cdot 10^2 \begin{array}{l} +15\% \\ -12\% \end{array} \begin{array}{l} +1\% \\ -1\% \end{array}$ | 353 |

Table 3: Production of a top-antitop pair in association with up to two electroweak vector bosons, and with an electroweak boson and up to two jets, at the LHC and at a 100 TeV FCC-hh. The rightmost column reports the ratio ρ of the FCC-hh to the LHC cross sections. Processes $pp \rightarrow t\bar{t}Vj(j)$ feature a cut of $p_T(j) > 100 \text{ GeV}$. Theoretical uncertainties are due to scale and PDF variations, respectively. Monte-Carlo-integration error is always smaller than theoretical uncertainties, and is not shown.

- [1] R. Frederix, S. Frixione, V. Hirschi, F. Maltoni, R. Pittau and P. Torrielli, JHEP **1202** (2012) 099 [arXiv:1110.4738 [hep-ph]].
- [2] A. D. Martin, W. J. Stirling, R. S. Thorne and G. Watt, Eur. Phys. J. C **63** (2009) 189 [arXiv:0901.0002 [hep-ph]].
- [3] M. Cacciari, G. P. Salam and G. Soyez, JHEP **0804** (2008) 063 [arXiv:0802.1189 [hep-ph]].
- [4] M. Cacciari, G. P. Salam and G. Soyez, Eur. Phys. J. C **72** (2012) 1896 [arXiv:1111.6097 [hep-ph]].
- [5] S. Frixione, Phys. Lett. B **429** (1998) 369 [hep-ph/9801442].